Biden Handing Over U.S. Sovereignty to WHO

2nd Smartest Guy in the World
20 hr ago
♡ 35 5

by Peter Breggin MD and Ginger Ross Breggin

Please take seriously the severity of this existential threat to everything free people hold dear. Do everything in your power to pass this report on to others and to find ways to communicate with and to influence people to stop empowering WHO to take over our national sovereignty and freedom.

On May 22-28, 2022, ultimate control over America's healthcare system, and hence its national sovereignty, will be delivered for a vote to the World Health Organization's governing legislative body, the World Health Assembly (WHA).

This threat is contained in new amendments to WHO's International Health Regulations, *proposed by the Biden administration*, that are scheduled as "Provisional agenda item 16.2" at the upcoming conference on May 22-28, 2022.1

These amendments will empower WHO's Director-General to declare health emergencies or crises in any nation and to do so unilaterally and against the opposition of the target nation. The Director-General will be able to declare these health crises based merely on *his personal opinion* or *consideration* that there is a *potential or possible* threat to other nations.

If passed, the Biden Administration's proposed amendments will, by their very existence and their intention, drastically compromise the independence and the sovereignty of the United States. The same threat looms over all the U.N.'s 193 member nations, all of whom belong to WHO and represent 99.44% of the world population.2

These regulations are a "binding instrument of international law entered into force on 15 June 2007."3 U.N. members states can be required by law to obey or acquiesce to them.

How It Became Official

On January 18, 2022, with no public awareness, officials from the Biden Administration sent the World Health Organization these extensive amendments to strengthen WHO's ability to unilaterally intervene into the affairs of nations merely suspected of having a "health emergency" of possible concern to other nations.4 The U.S. amendments cross out a critical existing restriction in the regulations: "WHO shall consult with and attempt to obtain verification from the State Party in whose territory the event is allegedly occurring…"5 By eliminating that, and other clauses (see below), all the shackles will be removed from the Director-General of WHO, enabling him to declare health emergencies at will.

The amendments would give WHO the right to take important steps to collaborate with other nations and other organizations worldwide to deal with any nation's alleged health crisis, even against its stated wishes. The power to declare health emergencies is a potential tool to shame, intimidate, and dominate nations. It can be used to justify ostracism and economic or financial actions against the targeted nation by other nations aligned with WHO or who wish to harm and control the accused nation.

Although sponsored by an American administration, WHO's most significant use of this arbitrary authority to declare national emergencies will be used against the United States if our government ever again dares to take anti-globalist stands as it did under the Trump administration.

How Much Time Do We Have to Stop the Amendments?

The contents of the proposed amendments were not made public until April 12, 2022,6 leaving little time to protest before the scheduled vote. As noted, the amendments are scheduled and almost certainly will be enacted May 22-28, 2022.

The existing WHO regulations then provide for an 18-month grace period during which a nation may withdraw its "yes" vote for amendments, *but the current proposed amendments would reduce that opportunity to six months.* If the U.S.-sponsored amendments are passed, a majority of the nations could, in the next six months, change their individual votes and reverse the approval. But this is a much more difficult proposition than stopping the whole process now.

> *We must act now to prevent the passage of the amendments, including putting sufficient pressure on the United States to withdraw them from consideration. If that fails, and the amendments are approved at the May meeting of the WHO governing body, we must then make the effort to influence a majority of the nations to change their votes to "no."*

Without Organized Resistance, the Amendments Will Definitely Pass

On January 26, 2022, the same U. S. Permanent Mission to the United Nations in Geneva sent a one-page memo to WHO confirming that the amendments had been sent. It also contained a brief report by the same Loyce Pace, Assistant Secretary for Global Affairs HHS.7 Most importantly, the memo listed all the nations backing the U.S. amendments. The size and power of the group guarantee that the amendments will be passed if unopposed by significant outside pressure.

Here are the 20 nations, plus the European Union, listed by the U.S. as supporting the amendments:

Albania, Australia, Canada, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Guatemala, India, Jamaica, Japan, Monaco, Montenegro, Norway, Peru, Republic of Korea, Switzerland, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Uruguay, Member States of the European Union (EU).

The European Union, a globalist organization, has been among the biggest backers of increasing WHO's global power. The EU includes the following 27 Western nations:

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Republic of Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, and Sweden.

That's a total of 47 nations supporting the U.S.-authored amendments. All of them have endorsed empowering WHO to declare a possible or potential health emergency or crisis within any nation despite its objections and refusal to cooperate. *To repeat, these amendments will pass unless American citizens, as well as citizens worldwide, mount a very strong opposition.*

World Health Organization

Defining "Health" and WHO's Domain of Authority

According to the Foreward to WHO's regulations, there is no specific limit to what constitutes a health emergency, and it is certainly not limited to pandemics. WHO's domain includes:8

a scope not limited to any specific disease or manner of transmission, but covering "illness or medical condition, irrespective of origin or source, that presents or could present significant harm to humans…

WHO's powerful reach is also defined by the number of other organizations it is authorized to cooperate with once it has declared an emergency or health crisis: "other competent intergovernmental organizations or international bodies with which WHO is expected to cooperate and coordinate its activities, as appropriate, include the following: United Nations, International Labor Organization, Food and Agriculture Organization, International Atomic Energy Agency, International Civil Aviation Organization, International Maritime Organization, International Committee of the Red Cross, International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, International Air Transport Association, International Shipping Federation, and Office International des Epizooties."9

The Preamble to the WHO Constitution (separate from the International Health Regulations) summarizes WHO's concept of what is included under its mandate of improving, guiding, and organizing world health:10

WHO remains firmly committed to the principles set out in the preamble to the Constitution

- Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.
- The enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health is one of the fundamental rights of every human being without distinction of race, religion, political belief, economic or social condition.
- The health of all peoples is fundamental to the attainment of peace and security and is dependent on the fullest cooperation of individuals and States.
- The achievement of any State in the promotion and protection of health is of value to all.
- Unequal development in different countries in the promotion of health and control of diseases, especially communicable diseases, is a common danger.
- Healthy development of the child is of basic importance; the ability to live harmoniously in a changing total environment is essential to such development.
- The extension to all peoples of the benefits of medical, psychological, and related knowledge is essential to the fullest attainment of health.
- Informed opinion and active co-operation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people.
- Governments have a responsibility for the health of their peoples which can be fulfilled only by the provision of adequate health and social measures.

Given WHO's assessment of the breadth of its health concerns, mandates, and goals — almost any kind of problematic situation that affects the people of a nation could be considered a *health* problem. Indeed, under WHO's approach, it would be difficult to find any important national issue that was not a potential health problem. With the imminent passage of the American-sponsored amendments to the International Health Regulations, WHO will have free reign for using these expansive definitions of health to call a crisis over anything it wishes in any nation it desires.

WHO's Sweeping New Powers

The sweeping new powers will be invested in the Director-General of WHO to act on his own. The Director-General is Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, commonly known as Tedros. Tedros, the first non-physician director-general of WHO, is an extremely controversial Marxist activist and politician from Ethiopia installed by the Chinese Communist Party. Despite the fact that his role as the cover-up apologist for the Chinese Communists at the onset of COVID-19, this "dear friend of Anthony Fauci" was re-elected without opposition in 2022 to a second five-year term.11 His original election in 2017, followed by his re-election without opposition in 2022, is an ominous display of Chinese Communist influence over WHO,12 which makes further empowering the U.N. agency extremely dangerous.

Under the new regulations, WHO will not be required to consult with the identified nation beforehand to "verify" the event before taking action. This requirement is stricken by the U.S. amendments (Article 9.1). The amendments require a response in 24 hours from the identified nation, or WHO will identify it as "rejection" and act independently (Article 10.3). If the identified nation "does not accept the offer of collaboration within 48 hours, WHO shall … immediately share with the other State Parties the information available to it…" (Article 10.4).

> Indicating the breadth of WHO's scope of power, the agency will be given the right to involve multiple other U.N. agencies, including those related to food and agriculture, animal health, environmental programs, "or other relevant entities" (Article 6.1). This, too will not require the permission of the identified nation. The targeted nation is also required to send to WHO any relevant genetic sequence data. And as we have seen, the Foreward to these regulations presents a much larger array of potential collaborating agencies.

Under the proposed regulations, WHO itself would develop and update "early warning criteria for assessing and progressively updating the national, regional, or global risk posed by an event of unknown causes or sources…" (New article 5). Notice that the health-endangering event may be so nonspecific as to have "unknown causes or sources." Thus, Tedros and any future Director-Generals of WHO will be given unrestricted powers to define and then implement their interventions.

The proposed regulations, in combination with existing ones, allow action to be taken by WHO, "If the Director-General considers, based on an assessment under these Regulations, that a potential or actual public health emergency of international concern is occurring…" (Article 12.2). That is, Tedros need only "consider" that a "potential or actual" risk is occurring.

Global Supporters of WHO

WHO is not a global powerhouse by itself. Early in the pandemic, it acted as a front group for the international exploiters of humanity, whom we describe in our new book *COVID-19 and the Global Predators*. In particular, it made certain the Chinese Communists could hide the seriousness of the pandemic while spreading to the world on passenger airplanes from its major cities, including Wuhan itself. We have already noted and documented that the Chinese Communist Party and Xi Jinping have enormous influence over WHO.

Even after Donald Trump slashed the U.S. contribution to WHO in February 2020, the U.S. remained the largest donor to WHO. On March 31, 2020, the U.S. contribution was $115.8 million, followed by China at approximately one-half that amount, followed by Japan, Germany, the United Kingdom, France, Italy, and Brazil.13

Then in early July 2020, Trump notified Congress and the U.N. that it was formally withdrawing from WHO. Bill Gates quickly announced he was increasing his contribution from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation to $250 million.14

After the Communist Chinese Party, Bill Gates probably has the most influence over WHO. In our book, *COVID-19 and the Global Predators: We Are the Prey*, we describe in Chapter 15 how Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab, and the giant medical foundation Wellcome Trust created CEPI — The Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations. This became the center of global predatory activities in preparation for the anticipated pandemic. It brought together key U.S. agencies, including the FDA, CDC, NIAID, NIH, the U.N., WHO, giant pharmaceutical companies, banks, and multiple other sources of wealth and power.

In 2017, or earlier, CEPI made an agreement called a memorandum of understanding with WHO. CEPI then presented a PowerPoint presentation to WHO in July 2017, in effect dividing up the world between the Gates' CEPI and WHO in the coming pandemic. Gates would handle the financing, supply, and distribution of the vaccines, and WHO would control and monitor the scientific and medical community. Among the stipulations of the PowerPoint, which the Gates-created foundation presented, was that the pharmaceutical companies would be reimbursed for all direct and indirect costs by the government for developing their high-speed manufacturing platforms.

WHO was highly effective during COVID-19 in implementing the aims of the global predators, led by the groups around Bill Gates and the Chinese Communist Party, in their organized assault and terror campaign against the Western democracies. This purposely resulted in the vast weakening of any potentially anti-globalist, freedom-oriented, patriotic nations, including the U.S., Great Britain, Australia, Canada, and others. That success may explain why the global predators chose WHO to now deliver a major and potentially lethal death blow to the sovereignty of the world's nations.

Europeans Call for Additional Further Increases in WHO's Power

There is a growing debate over *further* increasing the power of WHO to punish uncooperative or dissident nations.15 Some "have sounded the alarm about giving the WHO too much power at the expense of national sovereignty." Some have voiced concern about China's influence on WHO: "Not only has it increased its payment to the WHO in recent years, but it also enjoys a special relationship with its leader."

Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, director general of the World Health Organization, with President Xi Jinping.

But others are calling for increasing WHO's ability to sanction non-compliant nations. Echoing recent plans publicized by the Biden administration, some nations are calling for "national and global coordinated actions to address the misinformation, disinformation, and stigmatization that undermine public health." German Health Minister Jens Spahn has proposed "that countries that fail to follow up on their commitments to the WHO should face sanctions." Tedros has said, "maybe exploring the sanctions may be important."

Treaties with WHO: Another Enormous Threat to Sovereignty — With a Longer Timeline

Before we learned about this current and more immediate threat to U.S. sovereignty, we were focusing on WHO's plans to begin making treaties with individual nations to take over their general healthcare structures, making WHO the guiding and central authority for the world's healthcare. In addition to many radio, TV, and public appearances giving the details about this threat, we have written a column on America Out Loud, dated February 18, 2022, "Tedros Introduces Globalist Plan to Take Over World's Health Systems."16

If implemented, the treaties become an even greater threat than the amendments to WHO's International Health Regulations, but we have more time to deal with the treaties than with the amendments.

> We need to face that these American-sponsored amendments are a great step toward America voluntarily forfeiting its sovereignty to the New World Order or Great Reset — *and that without strong opposition, the ratification of the amendments is a foregone conclusion.* Our success or failure in stopping the ratification of these amendments will establish the pattern for the future, including WHO's ongoing effort to make legally-binding treaties that rob nations of their sovereignty.

Why Would the U.S. Government Surrender Its Sovereignty

Why would the U.S. give away its sovereignty to other nations? In reality, that process has been going on at least since President Wilson's failed attempt to get the Senate to approve U.S. membership in the League of Nations. It has escalated since World War II, often under the umbrella and authority of the United Nations, with which many global predators are enamored and use as the cover story for their predations. As documented in our book, *COVID-19 and the Global Predators*, Bill Gates and Klaus Schwab have both worked out cooperative agreements for their versions of the New World Order with the U.N.

President Biden has recently told the Business Round Table — the presidents and CEOs of the wealthiest 200 corporations in America — that they must lead the growing New World Order:17

"And now is a time when things are shifting. We're going to — there's going to be a new world order out there, and we've got to lead it. And we've got to unite the rest of the free world in doing it."

John Kerry, the President's climate czar, had announced that when Americans elected Biden, they voted for the Great Reset, whether they knew it or not.18

Discussion and Conclusions

The planning for these devastating U.S.-sponsored amendments to WHO's International Health Regulations has been so stealthy that it might have escaped attention except for the efforts of one individual, James Roguski. He was the first to recognize this threat, and on March 31, 2022, he published a report headlined, "WAKE UP and Smell the Burning of Our Constitution."19 He also helped us by reviewing the material and this report with us. Fortunately, our courageous medical colleague Robert Yoho originally alerted us to Roguski's work and its importance.20

We are facing an imminent threat to U.S. sovereignty by these legally-binding amendments to the WHO's International Health Regulations that — without stiff opposition — will almost certainly be passed during the upcoming meeting of WHO's governing body, the World Health Assembly, May 22-28, 2022. As noted earlier, there is a six-month grace period following approval of amendments during which countries may withdraw their approval, but a majority doing so seems highly unlikely. Right now, we must focus on preventing the WHA from approving the amendments.

We must immediately mount an international campaign, especially focused within America, to force the U.S. to withdraw these amendments before they come to a vote. Otherwise, America and the nations of the world will take a giant stride toward forfeiting national sovereignty to WHO and the U.N. In reality; they will be forfeiting their sovereign powers to the global predators who rule the U.N. and WHO, including the Chinese Communist Party and supporters of the Great Reset, like Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab, and giant foundations and corporations — all of whom benefit from weakening or destroying the sovereignty of the Western nations. Western civilization, and mainly the United States, is all that stands in strong opposition to the globalist takeover of the world, called the New World Order or the Great Reset.

References:

1 https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA75/A75_18-en.pdf#page=4 Top lefthand corner provides the date and proposal ID.

2 https://www.worlddata.info/alliances/un-united-nations.php

3 International Health Regulations (2005) (who. int) These are the original WHO *International Health Regulations* before the proposed amendments by the U.S.A. The Overview on this page (before going to the link to the Regulations) in the second sentence contains the statement about their legally binding nature.

4 https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA75/A75_18-en.pdf#page=4 Note: "Security" warnings sometimes prevent downloading; but changing browsers or repeatedly trying has always succeeded. We are planning an alternative link from www.breggin.com. The document dated January 18, 2022, is found on pages 3-4, From the "Permanent Mission of the United States of America to the United Nations and Other International Organizations in Geneva." It lists the Amendments as an enclosure, along with a "Letter from HHS Assistant Secretary Loyce Pace."

5 https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA75/A75_18-en.pdf#page=4 See p. 6 of the amendments, Article 9 (1.).

6 https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA75/A75_18-en.pdf#page=4 The date is in the upper righthand corner.

7 https://geneva.usmission.gov/2022/01/26/strengthening-who-preparedness-for-and-response-to-health-emergencies/ This is a One-page statement from U.S. to WHO about amendments. Lists supporting nations and DHHS support.

8 International Health Regulations (2005) (who.int). Download the Regulations and go to the Foreward.

9 International Health Regulations (2005) (who.int). International Health Regulations, Section on *Revision Of The International Health Regulations*, pp. 3-4.

10 https://www.who.int/about/governance/constitution

11 https://www.foxnews.com/world/world-health-organization-chief-tedros-unopposed-second-term. Even *The New York Times* had serious reservations about Tedros' initial nomination, citing allegations of his covering up epidemics in his home country of Ethiopia: https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/13/health/candidate-who-director-general-ethiopia-cholera-outbreaks.html

12 https://foreignpolicy.com/2020/04/02/china-coronavirus-who-health-soft-power/

13 https://www.statista.com/chart/21372/assessed-contributions-to-the-world-health-organization/

14 https://www.usnews.com/news/articles/2020-05-29/gates-foundation-donations-to-who-nearly-match-those-from-us-government

15 Swab, Petr. Proposal to Sanction Countries Disobeying WHO Pandemic Response Rules is Concerning: Author. *The Epoch Times*, April 14, Updated April 19, 2022. Swab's report is the source for all the quotes in this section. ishttps://www.theepochtimes.com/proposal-of-sanctions-on-countries-disobeying-who-pandemic-response-rules-concerning-author_4405091.html

16 https://www.americaoutloud.com/tedros-introduces-globalist-plan-to-take-over-worlds-health-systems/

17 https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/speeches-remarks/2022/03/21/remarks-by-president-biden-before-business-roundtables-ceo-quarterly-meeting/

18 https://redstate.com/heartlandinstitute/2020/11/30/john-kerry-great-reset-will-happen-n286949 We have also checked a video of Kerry's remarks.

19

James Roguski

WAKE UP and Smell the Burning of Our Constitution
Please watch the (4) videos below…
Read more
a month ago · 199 likes · 69 comments · James Roguski

20 https://www.buzzsprout.com/1718994/10489421-the-world-health-organization-who-is-trying-to-take-over-the-world

---

Do NOT comply.

Subscribe to 2nd Smartest Guy in the World
Launched 10 months ago
Exposing Psyops & Scams
Type your email… Subscribe
♡ 35 5 Share

Write a comment…

ColleenHuberNMD Writes The Defeat Of COVID · 19 hr ago ♥ Liked by 2nd Smartest Guy in the World

I think one of the essential things for people to do is to simply write a Legal Notice to President Biden that neither he nor anyone else has the authority to surrender US sovereignty to any entity, and he has no authority to subordinate the US Constitution to any other agreement or treaty. To do so is expressly prohibited by the Constitution and by Marbury v Madison 5 US 137.

Any treaty that is repugnant to the Constitution is void, and therefore cannot be enforced. But preaching this to the choir is not nearly as helpful as putting the lawbreakers on formal written notice and keeping the receipts.

♡ 7 Reply

1 reply by 2nd Smartest Guy in the World

CK_ 20 hr ago ♥ Liked by 2nd Smartest Guy in the World

Horrible news, of course. The vast majority of people are completely unaware of this. Daily distractions like Ukraine and Roe vs. Wade keep it that way. Here's a good video about how the WHO "predicts" 10 years of pandemics:

https://stopworldcontrol.com/proof/?inf_contact_key=f158798ed80374230748e19a45d36fa5842e902fbefb79ab9abae13bfcb46658

♡ 6 Reply

3 more comments...

Top New Community

Bruce Willis is most likely yet another DEATHVAX™ Victim

How do we know Bruce Willis' aphasia wasn't caused by the vaccine? Nobody is talking about it.

2nd Smartest Guy in the World Mar 30 ♡ 57 💬 10

Today's Pfizer document dump reveals ever more grim data. It is painfully obvious why they attempted to leverage the captured FDA to hide...

DEATHVAX™ gonna DEATHVAX™.

2nd Smartest Guy in the World May 3 ♡ 62 💬 33

Corporal Bulford Resigns from his Position of Personal Security of Trudeau with Scathing Speech Citing the Canadian Bill of Rights and his...

One of the most impressive and poignant resignation speeches you may ever witness. Corporal Bulford exposes the NAZI 2.0 PSYOP-19 Program and decimates...

2nd Smartest Guy in the World Feb 6 ♡ 59 💬 18

See all ›

Ready for more?

Type your email... Subscribe

© 2022 2nd Smartest Guy in the World • Privacy • Terms • Collection notice

Publish on Substack Get the app

Substack is the home for great writing